ATTACHMENT 2 (g)

Course Report

Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

The National Commission for Academic Accreditation & Assessment

COURSE REPORT (CR)

A separate Course Report (CR) should be submitted for every course and for each section or campus location where the course is taught, even if the course is taught by the same person. Each CR is to be completed by the course instructor at the end of each course and given to the program coordinator

A combined, comprehensive CR should be prepared by the course coordinator and the separate location reports are to be attached.

Institution

King Saudi University



المملكة العربية السعودية الهيئة الوطنية للتقويم والاعتماد الأكاديمي

Date of Course Report

Course Report

For guidance on the completion of this template refer to the NCAAA handbooks or the NCAAA Accreditation System help buttons.

College/ Department College of Food and Agricultural Sciences								
A. Course Identification and General Information								
1. Course title	NUTRITION	NAL COUSE	LING	Code #	FSN 381	Section # 52162		
2. Name of course instructor Prof Reshod AlShagrawi Location								
3. Year and semester to which this report applies. First semester 2014/2015 4. Number of students starting the course? 5 Students completing the course? 5								
5. Course components (actual total contact hours and credits per semester):								
	Lecture	Tutorial	Laboratory	Practical	Other:	Total		
Contact Hours	1 .							
Credit	1							

1. Coverage of Planned Program			
Topics Covered	Planned Contact Hours	Actual Contact Hours	Reason for Variations if there is a difference of more than 25% of the hours planned
Introduction to course	1	1	
Definition of Nutritional counselling, objectives and outcomes	2	2	
Available Nutritional/Health Information: Effect of associated Problems on lifestyle Change.	1	1	
Reference data needed, availability it's quality, suitability and relevance and it's use	1	1	



B. - Course Delivery



and Comparison with Counselling			
Strategies	W3 401 - 402 - 142 - 142 - 142		
First Mid Term Exam (20%).	1	1	
Predictors of Maintained Behaviour change and Emphasis on Weight Loss.	1	1	
Dietary Strategies Based on Long-Term Randomized Clinical and Nutritional Trails Focused On lifestyle Change	. 1	1	
Second Mid Term Exam (20%).	• 1	1	
Practical Sessions			
Round Table discussions on food and Eating Habits.	2	2	
Round Table discussions and Assessment and Evaluation of Obese Patients Files.	2	2	
Round Table discussions: Assessment of lifestyle Cycle Factors Related To Diet and Obesity Associated Diseases.	2	2	
Round Table discussions on Stress and its Management in Maintaining Dietary Change	2	2	
Round Table discussions 'on food and Dietary Methods of Nutritional Status Assessments Interpretation of Results	2	2	
Quizzes, Mid-session and Final Exams	1	1	

		~- ~	~	000 .
1	Camananana	af Nlan	Corrora	of lonios
1	Consequences	OLIVOII	Coverage	OI TODICS

For any topics where the topic was not taught or practically delivered, comment on how significant you believe the lack of coverage is for the course learning outcomes or for later courses in the program. Suggest possible compensating action.

Topics (if any) not Fully Covered	Effected Learning Outcomes	Possible Compensating Action

3. Course learning outcome assessment.

	List course learning-outcomes	List methods of assessment	Summary analysis of assessment results
1			





2 3 4 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	
5	
5	
6	
The state of the s	
7	Article State Stat
8	

immarize any actions you recommend for improving teaching strategies as a result of evaluations in table	
above.	

4. Effectiveness of Planned Teaching Strategies for Intended Learning Outcomes set out in the Course Specification. (Refer to planned teaching strategies in Course Specification and description of Domains of Learning Outcomes in the National Qualifications Framework)

List Teaching Methods set out in Course	Were these Effective?		Difficulties Experienced (if any) in Using the Strategy and Suggested Action to Deal
Specification	No	Yes	with Those Difficulties.
-	3		



[5]		

Note: In order to analyze the assessment of student achievement for each course learning outcome, student performance results can be measured and assessed using a KPI, a rubric, or some grading system that aligns student work, exam scores, or other demonstration of successful learning.

C. Results

1. Distribution of Grades

Letter	Number of	Student	Explanation of Distribution of Grades
Grade	Students	Percentage	=
B^{+}	1	20	85-89
В	2	40	80-84
С	1	20	70-74
D	1	20	60-64
Denied Entry			
In Progress	•		
Incomplete			
Pass			
Fail			
Withdrawn			



المملكة العربية السعودية الهيئة الوطنيسة للتقويم والاعتماد الأكاديمسي

2. Analyze special factors (if any) affecting the res	ults
Variations from planned student assessment prod a. Variations (if any) from planned assessment sche	•
Variation Variation	Reason
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	TOUSOII
-	
	A STATE OF THE STA



b. Variations (if any) from planned assessme	ent processes in Domains of Learning (see Course Specification)		
Variat <u>i</u> on	Reason		
The state of the s			
4. Student Grade Achievement Verification	(eg. cross-check of grade validity by independent evaluator).		
Method(s) of Verification	Conclusion		
	Ţ		
D. Daramana and Easilities			
D. Resources and Facilities			
1. Difficulties in access to resources or	2. Consequences of any difficulties experienced for student		
facilities (if any)	learning in the course.		
nacinates (if any)	rearring in the course.		
H.			
E. Administrative Issues			
1 Organizational or administrative	2. Consequences of any difficulties experienced for student		
difficulties encountered (if any)	learning in the course.		
T 6 - T 1 - 1			
F Course Evaluation			
1 Ct. days - 1 - t - Ctl. (Att. 1			
1 Student evaluation of the course (Attach	survey results report)		
a. List the most important recommendations	for improvement and strengths		
1. D. C.	1. 1.		
b. Response of instructor or course team to t	his evaluation		



المملكة العربية السعودية الهيئة الوطنية للتقويم والاعتماد الأكاديمسي

2. Other Evaluation (e.g. by head of department, peer observations, accreditation review, other stakeholders)						
•	•					
a. List the most important recommendations for improvement and strengths						
b. Response of instructor or course team to this evaluation						
G. Planning for Improvement						
1. Progress on actions proposed for improving the course in previous course reports (if any).						
	posed for improving the	e course in previous course rep	orts (if ally).			
Actions recommended from the most recent	A ations Tales	D Iv.	A per been p			
course report(s)	Actions Taken	Results	Analysis			
a.						
1						
b.						
	9					
c.						
d.						



المملكة العربية السعودية الهيئة الوطنية التقويم والاعتماد الأكاديمي

2. List what actions have been tal	ken to improve the course (based	on previou	ıs CR, surveys, iı	ndependent		
opinion, or course evaluation).						
	*					
*						

3. Action Plan for Improvement	for Next Semester/Year					
3. Action Fian for improvement				Person		
Actions Recommended	and Process	Date	Date	Responsible		
a.						
b.						
c.						
d.	The second secon					
e.						
	4					
Signature: Program Coordinator:	Date Report (
VCD (4) 40 CD (4) (70 A) (70 A) (70 A)	Date Received:					
	Signature: Date Received.					
	121					
	2					
	÷					
•	,					
•						
•	•					
•	•					
•						