

# ATTACHMENT 2 (e)

**Course Specifications** 

# Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

The National Commission for Academic Accreditation & Assessment

Course Specifications (CS)



# **Course Specifications**

Institution: College of Food	Science and Agriculture	Date of Report: 28/01/2014
College/Department: Food Science	e and Nutrition	
A. Course Identification and General	al Information	
	Control and Sensory Eva	aluation of Food; Code # FSN456
2. Credit hours: 2 (1+1)		
3. Program(s) in which the cours		
(If general elective available in m	any programs indicate th	nis rather than list programs)
4. Name of faculty member response	onsible for the course: Elf	lfadil Elfadl Babiker and Amin Abuelkhair
5. Level/year at which this course	e is offered: level 6	
6. Pre-requisites for this course (	f any): Food Processing	and Preservation (FSN 352).
7. Co-requisites for this course (i	f any)	
8. Location if not on main campu	S	
9. Mode of Instruction (mark all	hat apply)	
a. Traditional classroom	$\sqrt{\text{What per}}$	ercentage? 10
b. Blended (traditional and onl	ine) $\sqrt{\text{What per}}$	ercentage? 30
c. e-learning	√ What per	ercentage? 60
d. Correspondence	What pe	ercentage?
f. Other	What pe	ercentage?
Comments: Usually we change the a defect.	e instruction mode from	e learning when the class room computer had



#### **B** Objectives

1. What is the main purpose for this course?

On successful completion of this course, it is expected that students will be able to:

- 1. Apply principles of quality assurance and quality management systems in the food manufacturing and distribution to produce foods that would meet quality and legal requirements.
- 2. Appraise principles of statistical control techniques to assure the quality of food.
- 3. Apply a particular sensory test for evaluation of quality of food.
- 4. Recall food standards code as applicable to a particular food group.
- 2. Briefly describe any plans for developing and improving the course that are being implemented. (e.g. increased use of IT or web based reference material, changes in content as a result of new research in the field).

The plans for developing and improving the course are usually considered by making amendments in the course content based on the results of new research in this field. Moreover, recent references and the style of e-learning are considered.

# C. Course Description (Note: General description in the form to be used for the Bulletin or handbook should be attached)

1. Topics to be Covered		
List of Topics	No. of Weeks	Contact Hours
Introduction to quality control	1	1
Quality control terminologies	1	1
Quality aspects and their measurement	1	1
Food regulation in the Kingdom	1	1
Quality management systems (ISO)	2	2
Statistical quality control methods	4	4
Purpose and applications of sensory evaluation in food	1	1
Quantitative overall and attribute difference tests	2	2
Affective tests (consumer tests)	1	1
Descriptive analysis methods	1	1



2. Course components (total contact hours and credits per semester):								
	Lecture	Tutorial	Laboratory	Practical	Other:	Total		
Contact Hours	15			30		45		
Credit	2					2		

3. Additional private study/learning hours expected for students per week.		
	non	

4. Course Learning Outcomes in NQF Domains of Learning and Alignment with Assessment Methods and Teaching Strategy

Course Learning Outcomes, Assessment Methods, and Teaching Strategy work together and are aligned. They are joined together as one, coherent, unity that collectively articulate a consistent agreement between student learning, assessment, and teaching.

The *National Qualification Framework* provides five learning domains. Course learning outcomes are required. Normally a course has should not exceed eight learning outcomes which align with one or more of the five learning domains. Some courses have one or more program learning outcomes integrated into the course learning outcomes to demonstrate program learning outcome alignment. The program learning outcome matrix map identifies which program learning outcomes are incorporated into specific courses.

On the table below are the five NQF Learning Domains, numbered in the left column.

<u>First</u>, insert the suitable and measurable course learning outcomes required in the appropriate learning domains (see suggestions below the table). <u>Second</u>, insert supporting teaching strategies that fit and align with the assessment methods and intended learning outcomes. <u>Third</u>, insert appropriate assessment methods that accurately measure and evaluate the learning outcome. Each course learning outcomes, assessment method, and teaching strategy ought to reasonably fit and flow together as an integrated learning and teaching process. <u>Fourth</u>, if any program learning outcomes are included in the course learning outcomes, place the @ symbol next to it.

Every course is not required to include learning outcomes from each domain.



	NQF Learning Domains And Course Learning Outcomes	Course Teaching Strategies	Course Assessment Methods
1.0	Knowledge	Strategies	Mode
1.1	Know the basic definitions of quality control terms.	Lectures, tutorials and independent study assignments.	15 minute multiple choice test on content on completion of each topic with results carrying 20% of final assessment. Multiple choice
1.2	Understand the basic rules and regulations regarding foods in Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.		knowledge items on final exam.
1.3	Use the management systems to control fresh and processed food.		
1.4	Realize the basic definitions of different sensory methods.		
1.5	Understand and develop new methods of understanding the effect of statistics in food quality control.		
1.6	Understand the principles of consumer tests and preferences.		
1.7	Understand descriptive analysis methods.		
2.0	Cognitive Skills		
2.1	Capable of visually recognizing the source of high quality food.	Explanations and examples given in lectures and practiced	Quizzes questions carrying 30% of mark on tests given at the end of each topic and on end of
2.2	Determine via instrumental analysis fresh or processed food sources.	under supervision in laboratory. Transfer of learning encouraged by	semester examination. Group and individual assignments.
2.3	Identify and describe different nutritional value and safety of fresh or processed food.	use of analytical tools in different applications and	
2.4	Describe and apply statistical analysis to fresh or processed food quality.	through discussion of potential application in other areas. Assignment	
2.5	Decide the proper way of sampling food.	tasks include some open	
2.6	Prepare and analyze a technical report using statistical analysis	ended tasks designed to apply predictive, analytical and problem solving skills.	
3.0	Interpersonal Skills & Responsibility		A
3.1	Apply principles of quality assurance and quality management systems in the food	One group assignment in which 25% of assessment	Assessment of group assignment includes component for individual



3.2	manufacturing and distribution to produce foods that would meet quality and legal requirements.  Appraise principles of statistical control techniques to assure the quality of food.  3. Apply a particular sensory test for evaluation of quality of food.  4. Recall food standards code as applicable to a particular food group.	is based on individual's contribution to the group task. Two individual assignments requiring investigation using internet and library resources as a means of developing self-study skills. Role play exercise on controversial issue relevant to the course based on a case study, with discussion in tutorial of appropriate responses and consequences to individuals involved.	contribution. Capacity for independent study assessed in individual assignments.
4.0	Communication, Information Technology, Numerical	ical	
4.1	The student will be able to operate a quality system for any food plant	Student assignments require good standards of	Test questions require interpretation of simple statistical
4.2	The student will be able to evaluate the quality of food from farm to fork.	use of ICT. Special remedial instruction. Student essay assignments require proper style and referencing format.	information. Assessments of students assignment and project work include expectation of adequate use of numerical and communication skills. Five percent of marks allocated for standard of presentation using ICT.
5.0	Psychomotor		
5.1			
			I

Suggested Guidelines for Learning Outcome Verb, Assessment, and Teaching

NQF Learning Domains	Suggested Verbs		
Knowledge	list, name, record, define, label, outline, state, describe, recall, memorize, reproduce, recognize, record, tell, write		
Cognitive Skills	estimate, explain, summarize, write, compare, contrast, diagram, subdivide, differentiate, criticize, calculate, analyze, compose, develop, create, prepare, reconstruct, reorganize, summarize, explain, predict, justify, rate, evaluate, plan, design, measure, judge, justify, interpret, appraise		
Interpersonal Skills & Responsibility	demonstrate, judge, choose, illustrate, modify, show, use, appraise, evaluate, justify, analyze, question, and write		
Communication, Information Technology, Numerical	demonstrate, calculate, illustrate, interpret, research, question, operate, appraise, evaluate, assess, and criticize		



	demonstrate, show, illustrate, perform, dramatize, employ, manipulate,
Psychomotor	operate, prepare, produce, draw, diagram, examine, construct, assemble,
	experiment, and reconstruct

Suggested *verbs not to use* when writing measurable and assessable learning outcomes are as follows:

Consider Maximize Continue Review Ensure Enlarge Understand Maintain Reflect Examine Strengthen Explore Encourage Deepen

Some of these verbs can be used if tied to specific actions or quantification.

#### Suggested assessment methods and teaching strategies are:

According to research and best practices, multiple and continuous assessment methods are required to verify student learning. Current trends incorporate a wide range of rubric assessment tools; including web-based student performance systems that apply rubrics, benchmarks, KPIs, and analysis. Rubrics are especially helpful for qualitative evaluation. Differentiated assessment strategies include: exams, portfolios, long and short essays, log books, analytical reports, individual and group presentations, posters, journals, case studies, lab manuals, video analysis, group reports, lab reports, debates, speeches, learning logs, peer evaluations, self-evaluations, videos, graphs, dramatic performances, tables, demonstrations, graphic organizers, discussion forums, interviews, learning contracts, antidotal notes, artwork, KWL charts, and concept mapping.

Differentiated teaching strategies should be selected to align with the curriculum taught, the needs of students, and the intended learning outcomes. Teaching methods include: lecture, debate, small group work, whole group and small group discussion, research activities, lab demonstrations, projects, debates, role playing, case studies, guest speakers, memorization, humor, individual presentation, brainstorming, and a wide variety of hands-on student learning activities.

	Assessment task (e.g. essay, test, group project, examination, speech, Week Due Proportion of Total							
	oral presentation, etc.)		Assessment					
1	Quizzes	Every two weeks	10%					
2	Mid-term examination	Week 7	10%					
3	Reports	Weekly	10%					
4	Discussions	Not usual						
5	Practical	Week 8 and 14	20%					
6	Attendance	Weekly	10					
7	Final examination		40%					



### D. Student Academic Counseling and Support

1. Arrangements for availability of faculty and teaching staff for individual student consultations and academic advice. (include amount of time teaching staff are expected to be available each week)

#### E. Learning Resources

## 1. List Required Textbooks

- 1. Guidelines for sensory analysis in food product development and quality control (2nd ed.). Carpenter, R. P., Lyon, D. H., & Hasdell, T. A. (2000). NY: Springer Publishers.
- 2. Statistical quality control for the food industry. Hubbard, M. R. (1996).NY: Chapman and Hall.
- 3. A guide to food quality assurance Sumner, J. (1995).by M&S Consultants Pty Ltd, the Moorings, Deviot, 7275, Australia.
- 4. Erly, R. 1995. Guide to Quality Management Systems for the Food Industry.
- 5. Hubbard, M. D. 1990. Statistical Quality Control for the Food Industry.
- 6. Meilgaard et al. 1999. Sensory Evaluation Techniques. 3<sup>rd</sup> Ed.

2.	L	ist	Essential	Re	ferences	M	aterials	$(J_{i})$	ournals,	Re	ports,	etc.)	,

- 3. List Recommended Textbooks and Reference Material (Journals, Reports, etc)
- 4. List Electronic Materials (eg. Web Sites, Social Media, Blackboard, etc.)
- 5. Other learning material such as computer-based programs/CD, professional standards or regulations and software.

#### F. Facilities Required

Indicate requirements for the course including size of classrooms and laboratories (i.e. number of seats in classrooms and laboratories, extent of computer access etc.)

1. Accommodation (Classrooms, laboratories, demonstration rooms/labs, etc.)

## Kingdom of Saudi Arabia National Commission for Academic Accreditation & Assessment



الملكة العربية السعودية الهيئة الوطنية للتقويم والاعتماد الأكاديمي

0.0 (AV. 1 . 1 . 0 D	1 6
2. Computing resources (AV, data show, Smart Box	ard, software, etc.)
3. Other resources (specify, e.g. if specific laborato	ory equipment is required, list requirements or attach
list)	
G Course Evaluation and Improvement Process	es
1	
1 Strategies for Obtaining Student Feedback on Eff	fectiveness of Teaching
	uestionnaire. Focus group discussion with small groups of
students.	8 1
2 Other Strategies for Evaluation of Teaching by the	he Program/Department Instructor
	pendent assessment of standards achieved by students,
independent advice on assignment tasks.	,
3 Processes for Improvement of Teaching	
Conduction of workshops on teaching methods, review of	of recommended teaching strategies.
4. Processes for Verifying Standards of Student Ac	
	, periodic exchange and remarking of tests or a sample
of assignments with staff at another institution)	, periodic exchange and remarking of tests of a sample
of assignments with staff at another institution)	
5 December 1 and a second of the second of the	11
	ally reviewing course effectiveness and planning for
improvement.	
Faculty or Teaching Staff: Elfadil E Babiker and	d Amin AbuelKhair
Signature:	Date Report Completed: 30.01.2014
Received by:	Dean/Department Head
Signature:	Date: