Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

The National Commission for Academic Accreditation & Assessment

APEC 218- The Price analysis

COURSE REPORT Second Semester 1434/1435

Dr. Adel Mohamed Khalifa Ghanem Instructor



Course Report

For guidance on the completion of this template refer to the NCAAA handbooks or the NCAAA Accreditation System help buttons.

Institution: King	Saud Univer	rsity	Date of Co	ourse Report:	3/8/1435			
College/ Departr	nent: College	e of Food and A	Agricultural Scie	ences/ Agricultural	Economics			
Course Identifi	cation and (General Infori	mation					
Course title: The	Price analys	sis	Code: APEC 2	218	Section	n: 32378		
Name of course	instructor: D	r. Adel Mohan	ned Khalifa Gha	nem				
		Location: F	Riyadh					
3. Year and seme	ester to which	h this report ap	plies:1434/1435	, Second semester				
4. Number of stu	idents startin	g the course?	7 Stude	ents completing the	e course?	6		
5. Course comp	onents (actua	al total contact	hours and credit	s per semester):				
	Lecture Tutorial Laboratory Practical Other: Total							
Contact	Contact 13 N/A N/A 26 N/A 39							
Hours								
Credit	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	-		

- Course Delivery

1. Coverage of Planned Program			
Topics Covered	Planned Contact Hours	Actual Contact Hours	Reason for Variations if there is a difference of more than 25% of the hours planned
Price and its importance in the economic systems	3	3	N/A
Methods of estimation the prices of goods and agricultural products	6	6	N/A
Methods of Estimation The prices or cost for economic resources	6	6	N/A
The role of prices in determining the optimal use of economic resources	3	3	N/A
simple and weighted index numbers for goods prices	3	3	N/A
Properties of index numbers of the goods price	3	3	N/A
index numbers for consumers prices	3	3	N/A
The criteria used in economic thought to measure the rate of inflation	3	3	N/A
Analysis of import and export prices for agricultural commodities	3	3	N/A



Study the phenomenon of rising prices from the perspective of consumers ,producers and importers	3	3	N/A
Positive and negative effects (economic and	3	3	N/A
social) for the high commodity prices			
Total	39 hours	39 hours	

2. Consequences of Non Coverage of Topics

For any topics where the topic was not taught or practically delivered, comment on how significant you believe the lack of coverage is for the course learning outcomes or for later courses in the program. Suggest possible compensating action.

Topics (if	any)	not	Fully	Effected Learning Outcomes	Possible Compensating Action
Covered					
	N/A			N/A	N/A

3. Course learning outcome assessment.

	List course learning outcomes	List methods of assessment	Summary analysis of assessment results
1	Knowledge:	assessment	resuits
	- Knowledge of the role of prices in determining the optimal use of economic resources.	Written test	Two major examinations were covering topics price analysis and found that all students are pass
	- Reasons for the high prices of goods of viewpoint of producers, consumers and importers.	Written test	quarterly exams. This shows that the students have acquired sufficient knowledge about the price analysis for the products and resources.
2	Cognitive Skills:		
	 Comparison between methods of measuring the rate of growth in commodity prices and economic resources. Determine the economic dimension of the strategy for reduce high prices of commodities 	- Intra-term tests(2 - 3) Weekly homework	Each student prepared a report on economic analysis for prices of a particular commodity will then be displayed at the end of the semester and evaluate these reports
	of commodities	assignments	
3	Communication, Information Technology, Numerical: Interpretation of fluctuations in the prices and how to reduce the negative effects of high commodity prices	- Intra-term tests(2 - 3) Weekly homework assignments.	the students gained Skill preparing Reports on Price of Goods and resources and its increase their ability to show the strengths and weaknesses in the researches of price analysis



Summarize any actions you recommend for improving teaching strategies as a result of evaluations in table 3 above.

Can improve the course through a change of the course content to teach all that is new in this area, in addition to using of modern software in the prices analysis for agricultural goods. As well as that Continue to assigning Students data collect on the prices of goods, analysis and write a report on price changes and discuss the students in this report, it all helps to increase educational attainment and applied for course contents.

4. Effectiveness of Planned Teaching Strategies for Intended Learning Outcomes set out in the Course Specification. (Refer to planned teaching strategies in Course Specification and description of Domains of Learning Outcomes in the National Qualifications Framework)						
List Teaching Methods set out in Course	Were t Effecti		Difficulties Experienced (if any) in Using the Strategy and Suggested Action to Deal			
Specification	No	Yes	with Those Difficulties.			
Lecture		J	None			
Practical		J	None			

Note: In order to analysis the assessment of student achievement for each course learning outcome, student performance results can be measured and assessed using a KPI, a rubric, or some grading system that aligns student work, exam scores, or other demonstration of successful learning.



C. **Results**

1. Distribution of Grades

	T		1
Letter	Number of	Student	Explanation of Distribution of Grades
Grade	Students	Percentage	
A	2	33.3%	The distribution of grades in APEC 218 appears
			Biased to upward due to its small of students number
В	3	50%	and convergence of the level of education because of
			competition among themselves. One student obtained
С	0	_	A+ are exceptional students, while one students
			obtained A. The three students who obtained B and
D	0	_	B+, those students are considered good to superior
	Ŭ		based on the descriptive grade codes of the university.
F	0		
1		_	
Denied	1	16.7%	
Entry	1	10.7 /0	
	0		
In Progress	0		
Incomplete	0		
Pass	5		
Fail	0		
Withdrawn	1		

2. Analyze special factors (if any) affecting the results N/A

3.	Variation	s from	planned	student	assessment	processes	(if any)	(see	Course 3	Specifications	s).
No	one										

a. Variations (if any) from planned assessment schedule (see Course Specification)				
Variation	Reason			
N/A	N/A			



1 ** 1 1 (10) 2	
	nt processes in Domains of Learning (see Course Specification)
Variation	Reason
N/A	N/A
4. Student Grade Achievement Verification (eg. cross-check of grade validity by independent evaluator).
Method(s) of Verification	Conclusion
N/A	
1771	
D. Resources and Facilities	
1. Difficulties in access to resources of	2. Consequences of any difficulties experienced for student
facilities (if any)	learning in the course.
Two many	Towning in the Course.
None	N/A
None	IVA
E. Administrative Issues	
1 Organizational or administrativ	
difficulties encountered (if any)	learning in the course.
None	N/A
E Commo Employ 45 m	
F Course Evaluation	1, ()
1 Student evaluation of the course (Attach st	arvey results report)
a. List the most important recommendations f	or improvement and strengths
The following information are used to improve	
	ct on the prices of goods, analysis and write a report on price
changes.	of the prices of goods, unarysis and write a report on price
changes.	
h Dagmanga of instruction on account to the	is avaluation
b. Response of instructor or course team to the	
i es is the response to assess whether genuin	e and substantive. the instructor would like to further improve



this rating by reviewing factors that facilitate student learning through the use of educational methods.
2. Other Evaluation (e.g. by head of department, peer observations, accreditation review, other stakeholders)
Evaluation by the head of department is still in progress.
a. List the most important recommendations for improvement and strengths
Evaluation of the department head is still in progress.
b. Response of instructor or course team to this evaluation
Response to the evaluation will be provided once the department head shares his findings.

G. Planning for Improvement

1. Progress on actions proposed for improving the course in previous course reports (if any).						
Actions recommended from the most recent course report(s)	Actions Taken	Results	Analysis			
None	N/A	N/A	N/A			



2. List what actions have been taken to improve the course (based on previous CR, surveys, independent
opinion, or course evaluation).
None

3. Action Plan for Improvement for Next Semester/Year						
	Intended Action Points	Start	Completion	Person		
Actions Recommended	and Process	Date	Date	Responsible		
a. Continue the process of	1- speed of choose subjects that	The	end of the	Dr. Adel		
applying the lectures in	will be the work of a price	Beginning	semester	Mohamed		
reports of the price analysis	analysis .	of the		Khalifa		
carried out by the students	2- Follow-up of students in the	semester		Ghanem		
	preparation reports the price					
	analysis.					
b.						
c.						
d.						
e.						

Name of Course Instructor: Dr. Adel Monai	med Knalifa Ghanem
Signature:	Date Report Completed: 3/8/1435
Program Coordinator: Dr. Alaa. A. Kotb	
Signature:	Date Received: 3/8/1435