ATTACHMENT 2 (c)

Annual Program Report

Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

The National Commission for Academic Accreditation & Assessment

ANNUAL PROGRAM REPORT (APR)

<u>Program Eligibility</u>: The program is to submit the two most recent APRs as part of the requirements for program eligibility using the NCAAA Template.

<u>Post Accreditation</u>: The program is required to annually complete an APR. The APR is to document a complete academic year.

APR's are prepared by the program coordinator in consultation with faculty teaching in the program. The reports are submitted to the head of department or college, and used as the basis for any modifications or changes in the program. The APR information is used to provide a record of improvements in the program and is used in the Self Study Report for Programs (SSRP) and by external reviews for accreditation.

Annual Program Report

1. Institution:
King Saud University

Date of Report:

O3-2015

2. College/ Department:
College of Food and Agriculture Sciences/Department of Food Science and Human Nutrition

3. Dean:
Dr. Fahad Nasser Ibrahiem Al- Barakah

4. List all branches/locations offering this program

Diriyah campus

A. Program Identification and General Information

| D 24 1 1 |
|---|
| Program title and code |
| Food Science and Human Nutrition (FSN) |
| Name and position of person completing the APR |
| Dr. Fahad AL-Juhaimi, |
| Chairman |
| Chairman |
| Phone: +966-11-4678408 |
| Cell: +966-50-3217761 |
| Fax: +966-11-4678394 |
| faljuhaimi@ksu.edu.sa |
| A so density records within this remost counties |
| Academic year to which this report applies. |
| 2013-2014 |
| 5012-5014 |
| |
| B Statistical Information |
| |
| 1. Number of students who started the program in the year concerned: |
| |
| |
| 2. (a) Number of students who completed the program in the year concerned: 41 |
| |
| Completed the final year of the program: |
| |
| Completed major tracks within the program (if applicable) |
| TitleNo |
| TitleNo |
| TitleNo |
| Title |
| TitleNo |
| |
| Title |
| |
| 2. (b) Completed an intermediate award specified as an early exit point (if any) NA |
| |
| 3. Apparent completion rate. |
| 40.20/ |
| (a) Percentage of students who completed the program, 48.2% |
| (Number shown in 2 (a) as a percentage of the number that started the program in that student |
| intake.) |
| NA NA |
| (b) Percentage of students who completed an intermediate award (if any) |
| (e.g. Associate degree within a bachelor degree program) |

(Number shown in 2 (b) as a percentage of the number that started the program leading to that award

in that student intake).

Comment on any special or unusual factors that might have affected the apparent completion rates (e.g. Transfers between intermediate and full program, transfers to or from other programs).

The apparent completion rate does not mean that only 48.2% students completed the program successfully because 41 students who graduated this year are those who might have enrolled 4 years ago (2009-2010) or even earlier. So if we calculate the apparent completion rate of 2009/10 enrollment it may be 41*100/52 = 79%

4. Enrollment Management and Cohort Analysis (Table 1)

Cohort Analysis refers to tracking a specific group of students who begin a given year in a program and following them until they graduate (How many students actually start a program and stay in the program until completion).

A **cohort** here refers to the total number of students enrolled in the program at the beginning of each academic year, immediately after the preparatory year. No new students may be added or transfer into a given cohort. Any students that withdraw from a cohort may not return or be added again to the cohort.

Cohort Analysis (Illustration): **Table 1** provides complete tracking information for the most recent cohort to complete the program, beginning with their first year and tracking them until graduation (students that withdraw are subtracted and no new students are added). Update the years as needed.

Enrollment Management and Cohort Analysis (Table 1)

| Student Category | 2008-09 29/30 | 2009-10 30/31 | 2010-11 31/32 | 2011-12 32/33 | 2012-13 33/34 | Current Year 2013-14 34/35 |
|--|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Total cohort enrollment * | 53 | 52 | 48 | 49 | 80 | 85 |
| Retained till year end | - | 53 | 45 | 46 | 64 | 35 |
| Withdrawn during the year and re- enrolled the following year | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Withdrawn for good | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Graduated successfully | - | - | - | | 58 | 41 |

^{*} The data is about the students who enroll food chemistry subject at FSN department in 4th semester because this is starting point for the students who are expected to complete their degrees at FSN department

a. Provide an analysis for the cohort that started PYP on 2009 - 10

The total student intake during 2009-10 was 52 which was almost similar to the previous year, 86% students continued till the next year and they were expected to graduate in 2013-2014. The data shows that 41 students graduated on 2013-14 but necessarily they may not be all those who enrolled in 2009-10. It can be seed that next year the student number was 53 which means a student from a previous year may have re-joined the program after withdrawing for a year.

b. Provide an analysis for the cohort that started PYP on 2010 - 11

The student enrolment in food chemistry (the starting point) was 48 during this year which was around 7% lower than the previous year which may be due to the fact the some students may not have succeeded in completing the pre-requisite Bio-CH-101 course at the chemistry department.

c. Provide an analysis for the cohort that started PYP on 2011 - 12

During this year the students enrolling food chemistry was 49 and it was consistent with that of the previous year

- d. Provide an analysis for the cohort that started PYP on 2012 13 A total of 80 student enrolled in this year and this increased number may be due to more students deciding to take food science or human nutrition as majors and also because some students who could not fulfill food chemistry pre-requisite last year, joined this course in 2012-13.
- e. Provide an analysis for the cohort that started PYP on 2013 14

 The trend in increase in number of student remained consistent during the currently reported year also. The retained student's number was 35 but the data is only for one semester whereas enrollment data is for two semesters. So it is expected that most of the 85 students who enrolled in previous year will stay and remaining students will take the quality control (FSN 456) course next semester.
- 7. Destination of graduates as shown in survey of graduating students (Include this information in years in which a survey of employment outcomes for graduating students is conducted).

Date of Survey

2013-2014

Number Surveyed

18 Number Responded

Response Rate %

65%

| Destination | Further Other Reasons | | Available for Employment | | | |
|-------------|-----------------------|--|---------------------------|---------------------|------------|--|
| | | | Employed in Subject Field | Other Employment | Unemployed | |
| Number | | | Food science | King Saud | | |

| Percent of | | | |
|-------------|--|--|--|
| Respondents | | | |

Analysis: List the strengths and recommendations

Most of the graduate students joined prestigious food companies such as Almarai, Alrabie, Saudi Food and Drug Administration, Ministry of commerce.

There is need to collect more information about their evaluation f the program in terms of its strengths and weeknesses.

C. Program Context

Significant changes within the institution affecting the program (if any) during the past year:

There were not much significant changes within the institution during the past year however the focus remained on the KSU's major transformation in to an active, more compact and research-oriented institution based on the KSU 2030 vision, which is having tremendous implications, calling for a new mindset, procedures, human, informational, and resource organizational systems, that are beginning to unfold and take shape.

The FSN expanded its research activities by using all programs launched by the Deanship of Scientific Research and added a number of experts to the department. The addition of the new staff increased the number of publications and increased the external funds for up to 16 Million Saudi Riyals.

Implications for the program:

These changes have positively affected FSN program by improvements in overall quality of department, teaching and evaluation systems. There was a significant increase in research publications along with achieving more funds for lab facilities improvement is highly advantageous to the students pursuing their degrees in FSN. The teaching, course evaluation, course reporting and various other departmental activities now have a more quality oriented approach and striving for continuous improvement.

2. Significant changes external to the institution affecting the program (if any) during the past year.

The country's economy and policies are now shifting from natural resources towards industrialization and creation of a knowledge based society. The youth population is now more interested in acquiring applied degrees that may have more market value.

The department also keeps in touch through interaction with Institute of Food Technologists or IFT which is considered a prestigious professional organization in the field of food science and technology and in order to comply with its professional requirements. FSN is closely observing any changes, developments occurring external to institution such as industrial demands and international trends to improve its program. The increase research activities in the department are in particular helping to achieve compliance with new trends in food science and nutrition.

Implications for the program

There is generally an increase in the number of students enrolling at the FSN department e.g. during the year 2012-13 there were 80 students which increased to 85 students during year 2013-2014. The department future goals, objectives and strategies are very much consistent with the increase in demand and the planning and expansion of both lab infrastructure and induction of more faculties is related to the possibilities of increase in number of students pursuing their degrees at FSN. The departmental administration from quality perspectives is much better and there is now a much better well defined system for course reporting, specifications and assessment etc.

D. Course Information Summary

- 1. Course Results. Describe and analyze how the individual NCAAA "Course Reports" are utilized to assess the program and to ensure ongoing quality assurance (e.g. Analysis of course completion rates, grade distributions, and trend studies.)
- (a.) Describe how the individual course reports are used to evaluate the program.

The course reports were prepared by the faculty who taught the courses and were shared between faculty members. The course reports included planned course contents, contact hours planned for each topic, credit hours, learning outcomes, grades distribution, resources and facilities, results, planning for improvement and comments from other faculty members such as participation in correcting each other's exam papers. These reports were helpful in assessing the performance of different students, enrollment trends etc., and interest of students in different courses. These reports also outline the strategies and goals set for a certain course. It was also decided by the department that course reports would also contain evaluation done by a faculty member other than the one who actually taught the course along with student assessment. This will be helpful in evaluating the quality of teaching and student performance in a specific course by an independent evaluator.

- (b.) Analyze the completion rates, grade distributions, and trends to determine strengths and recommendations for improvement.
 - (1.) Completion rate analysis:

The course reports gave an idea about completion rate and in most cases the course completion rate was 100%, however in some cases some of the students may have skipped the course due to multiple reasons and some of them may have not succeeded in passing a certain course.

(2.) Grade distribution analysis:

The course reports clearly presented the grade distribution and showed that which grade was obtained by most of the students. In different courses groups of students or majority of a class obtained a certain grade such 'B' showing similarity in their learning, however there were variations

too as some students performed excellent whereas few were below average also.

(3.) Trend analysis (a study of the differences, changes, or developments over time; normally several semesters or years):

The trend for student enrollment into a specific course can be highlighted. The student enrollment for instance remain variable in FNS 316 (Food Chemistry) which is the starting point for students planning to complete their agricultural science degree with either food science or human nutrition majors.

2. Analysis of Significant Results or Variations.

List any courses where completion rates, grade distribution, or trends are significantly skewed, high or low results, or departed from policies on grades or assessments. For each course indicate what was done to investigate, the reason for the significant result, and what action has been taken.

a. Course

Significant result or variation

FSN 316, Food Chemistry Credit Hours (3+1)

According to the CR submitted for the year 2013-14, a total of 85 students enrolled this course and 84 of them passed the course. However all of them secured either C or D grade and none fell within A or B grades.

Investigation undertaken

The course instructor reported reasons for this significant variation or why the performance of the students was not very good or why they passed the course in lower grades.

Reason for significant result or variation

It may be inferred that students may lack some rigorous background knowledge of natural or food chemistry and they may not be very hardworking and only focus on passing the course..

Action taken (if required)

A more direct analysis and improvement of course delivery was recommended so that the students performance can be improved with respect to this course.

| b. Course | Significant result or variation |
|----------------------------|---|
| FSN 321, Food Microbiology | According to the latest report of this course (22-05- |
| | 2014) a total of 14 students enrolled this course |
| | however only half of them completed it with only 5 |
| | actually passing the course. |

Investigation undertaken

It was observed that 4 students withdraw these courses and 3 were denied entry to the course. Out

| of the remaining 7 students 2 fail | ed and 5 completed it successfu | lly. | | | | | | |
|---|--|------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Reason for significant result or va | ariation | | | | | | | |
| The course is split into 2+1 (theoretical + lab work) and the microbiological facilities required for this particular course are satisfactory. The demonstrators are trained enough to effectively deliver different topics related to food microbiology. The microbiology lab is equipped with necessary devices, microbial media and accessories to demonstrate microbial techniques to the students. | | | | | | | | |
| - | Some students voluntarily leave the course and some may lack basic skills or a pre-requisite course for taking the food microbiology course. | | | | | | | |
| Action taken (if required) | | | | | | | | |
| N/A | | | | | | | | |
| (Attach additional summaries if ne | cessary) | | | | | | | |
| 4. Delivery of Planned Courses | | | | | | | | |
| (a) List any courses that were 1 | planned but not taught during tl | his academic year and indicate the | | | | | | |
| reason and what will need to be d | | | | | | | | |
| Course title and code | Explanation | Compensating action if required | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

| reason and what will need to be done if any compensating action is required. | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Course title and code | Explanation | Compensating action if required | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| NA | | | | | | | | | |
| NA | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| (b) Compensating Action Requi | ired for Units of Work Not Tau | ight in Courses that were Offered. | | | | | | | |
| (Complete only where units not | taught were of sufficient importa | ance to require some compensating | | | | | | | |
| action) | | | | | | | | | |
| Course | Unit of work | Reason | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| NA | | | | | | | | | |
| Compensating action if required | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| NA | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

E Program Management and Administration

| List difficulties (if any) | Impact of difficulties on the | Proposed action to avoid future |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| encountered in management of | achievement of the program | difficulties in Response |
| the program | objectives | |

| Preparatory year program | The department had to | The need for new courses in |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| college took some credit hours | modify the list of courses by | response to different |
| which were previously taught by | adding more courses to | circumstances and requirement |
| the department. This put | compensate for fulfilling the | should be one of the topics of |
| pressure on the time allocated | credits requirement for | faculty and council meetings. |
| for the FSN courses | students joining the | |
| | department major program | |
| There is generally a gap | This may result in mistake in | This issue has direct relationship |
| between planned and actual | forecasting for a course | with students' registration |
| enrollment at the department | requirements and planning as | which is controlled solely by the |
| | too high enrollment can | registrar office without input |
| | sometime result in difficulties | from the department. |
| | for course instructor/faculty | Therefore, the department deals |
| | | with the number of students |
| | | who attend are more than its |
| | | capacity by opening new |
| | | sections. |

F. Summary Program Evaluation

1. Graduating Students Evaluation (To be reported on in years when surveys are undertaken)

Date of Survey

2013

Attach survey report

- a. List most important recommendations for improvement, strengths and suggestions
- 1. The students suggested for establishing a department library.
- 2. Students wanted their opinion to be frequently taken for improvement of the program.
- 3. Alumni association should be more active at the department level.
- 4. The courses revision should be aligned with feedback from the private and public sector employing graduates.
- 5. There is need to add more practical courses that are in line with industrial requirements.

Analysis (e.g. Assessment, action already taken, other considerations, strengths and recommendation for improvement.)

The following is being done and planned in response to the graduated students survey:

- 1. The central library is well equipped with different database and establishment of a library at college level can also be considered.
- 2. Practice of taking opinions of students, external organization and reviewers is now being followed more aggressively.
- 3. The improvements in course specifications are being undertaken that can fulfill the future needs of students and their employers.
- b. Changes proposed in the program (if any) in response to this analysis and feedback.

The department is now keener in improving the program in view of such evaluations; the practice of external and internal evaluations by reviewers, students and examiners is being followed more frequently. The specifications of courses taught at the department are now more properly listed down and there is keen interest by faculty, instructors and quality management team to make them more updated, well-established. Each course is being given a considerable attention and attempts are being made that all the requirements for achieving the objectives of each course are available, functional and utilized.

2. Other Evaluation (e.g. Evaluations by employers or other stakeholders, external review)

Describe evaluation process

An independent external review was not conducted during the currently reported year however previously obtained evaluations by independent evaluator and those of consultant from NCAAA were taken into consideration for improvements in the program during this year as well.

The reviewers carried out following activities during their visits:

Visit to the laboratories of the department and the main library

Visit to other learning facilities of the department

Review of quality assurance documents of the DAERS (ex: program and course specifications, annual reports, strategic plan, KPIs and other relevant documents), and statistics related to the 11 accreditations standards of the NCAAA,

Visit to the web-site of the KSU and the CFAS, and

Review of manuals, brochures and handbook.

The reviewer's comments related to the program's strength were focused on the following points:

- 1. High faculty support for students which was shown on the student's survey results and data analysis.
- 2. International accreditation (AIC) and ISO obtained by the department, after reviewing the document presented to AIC.
- 3. Research grants and publication performed by the faculty, as shown in the program annual report and course report and documented in standard 10 of this SSR.
- 4. Community service as documented in standard 11
- 5. Highly qualified faculty members of the department as presented in the table of teaching staff in section C of this write-up.
- 6. Well established college strategic plan.

In addition, the reviewers listed some limitations of the department focused on:

- 1. English language use in class rooms;
- 2. Increase student's enrolment as well as more extra-curricular activities for students. The detailed comments and suggestion by the reviewer are listed in the preceding table.

a. List most important recommendations for improvement, strengths and suggestions for improvement.

Strength

Following is the list of strengths as mentioned by the IFT and consultant:

- 1. Continuous quality improvement in teaching facilities and equipment is commendable.
- 2. The program has a strong core of teaching staff that are highly qualified and experienced in their field.
- 3. There is strong evidence that academic staffs are actively engaged in research.
- 4. Providing services to the community is an integral part of the college strategic direction and service to community is well documented.

Limitations and Suggestions for Improvement:

Following areas were highlighted for improvement:

Following areas were highlighted for improvement:

- 1: Reviewers pointed out need for quality assurance cell in previous surveys.
- 2: They also highlighted the importance of establishing more systematic and up-to-date library for students in the department.
- 3: The scientific equipment should be managed properly and there should be a maintenance unit taking care of any faults and ensuring proper functioning.

(e.g. Analysis of recommendations for improvement: Are recommendations valid and what action will be taken, action already taken, or other considerations?)

FSN has a well established quality management system unit and accreditation unit now. The department has designated a full time employee to organize and maintain all aspects of quality such as surveys, course reports, program annual reports, communication with college office of quality, and distribute any new information from NCAAA to all faculty members.

There is a well established central library and efforts are being made to establish smaller libraries at college and departmental levels.

b. Changes proposed in the program (if any) in response to this feedback.

There were no major changes proposed except those highlighted issues which were addressed. Quality management unit is now in place, functioning under the guidance of the chairman and director of the unit. The quality documents are now being prepared well in conformance to the standards set by NCAAA.

2. Ratings on Sub-Standards of Standard 4 by program faculty and teaching staff; 4.1 to 4.10.

(a) List sub-standards. Are the "Best Practices" followed; Yes or No? Provide a revised rating for each

sub-standard. Indicate action proposed to improve performance (if any).

| sub-standard. Indicate action proposed | to impr | ove pei | formance (ii any). |
|--|----------------------------------|---------------|---|
| Sub-Standards | Best Practices Followed (Y/N) | 5 Star Rating | List priorities for improvement. |
| 4.1. Student Learning Outcomes | Υ | 4 | |
| | | star | 1. Increased faculty development activities, focused |
| 4.2. Program Development Processes | Υ | 5 | topics intended in faculty development, and |
| · | | star | comprehensive strategies for identification of |
| 4 Program Evaluation and Review | Υ | 4 | special attributes of students graduating from the department should be developed. |
| Processes | | star | Setting flexible guidelines to make minor changes in program and/or courses according to evaluation |
| 4.4. Student Assessment | Υ | 4 | should be taken in consideration and this should be |
| | | star | done as soon as possible. |
| 4.5. Educational Assistance for | Υ | 4 | 3. King Saud University as well as colleges and |
| Students | | star | departments should encourages students to take course evaluations seriously and should be insured |
| 4.6. Quality of Teaching | Υ | 4 | that their evaluations are important and will be used |
| , | | star | to improve the program and the performance of the |
| 4.7. Support for Improvements in | Υ | 4 | department. 4. Guidelines should be adapted to choose and |
| Quality of Teaching | | star | encourage students showing high performance after they pass the first year in the department. Such |
| 4.8. Qualifications and Experience of | Υ | 4 | encouragement will keep these students motivated. |
| Teaching Staff | | star | 5. Flexible guidelines should be set to make |
| | | | appropriate adjustments to the courses according to |
| 4.9. Field Experience Activities | Υ | 4 | comments which students may addressed in their |
| | | star | evaluations of the course reports. |
| 4.10. Partnership Arrangements With | Υ | 4 | 6. Formal recognition should be given also by |
| Other Institutions | | star | departments. 7. Preparation, writing and discussion of internship |
| | | | reports by students need to be improved. |
| Į. | | | |

Analysis of Sub-standards. List the strengths and recommendations for improvement of the program's self-evaluation of following best practices.

Strength

- 1. The new program was thoroughly evaluated by the educational committee. Requirements applicable to the field of study were used. These requirements were the IFT (Institute of Food Technologists) guidelines for the program as well as the guidelines of AND (Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics).
- 2. National qualifications and standards were followed (IFT and AND) and some of the American Universities were used as benchmarks.
- 3. Comments raised by the external reviewer were taken into consideration as mentioned previously. This leadership of the department is open for comments with regard to improving the program, including internal and external comments.
- 4. Text books are available and students pay only 25% of their original prices.
- 5. Attendance is monitored and enforced strongly by the teaching staff.
- 6. The Deanship of Skills Development offers workshops in teaching skills for the teaching staff.
- 7. Formal recognition is given only by the institution (King Saud University). This is to make sure that the mission and the objectives of the department are in line with KSU.
- 8. Most teaching staffs graduated from American's Universities. Their degrees obtained by taking courses as well as performing theses, in contrast with graduate schools from other countries.
- 9. Finally, the department hosts highly qualified international trained faculty members, good support system for all faculty members, strong and entrusted faculty members, and continuous faculty development programs.

Recommendations:

- Minor changes required for keeping program up to date and responding to course and program
 evaluation is not applicable currently. This is because no student until now indicated any such
 changes in the evaluation form. However, the department discussed this point and concluded that
 any change can be done according to the advice of educational committee. This committee should
 study the proposed change and its recommendations should be approved by the department
 council.
- 2. Student evaluation is done for each course and results of the evaluations are distributed to all teaching staff. However, students are not taking this issue seriously as they should.
- 3. Some of the teaching staff attends the workshops offered by the Deanship of Skills Development. Many criticisms were raised regarding some of the offered workshops concerning their quality and benefits. Therefore, deanship of skills development should distribute questionnaire to determine the needs of the teaching staff.
- 4. Preparation, writing, and discussion of reports by students at the end of the internship program are considered below average

G. Program Course Evaluation



1. List courses taught during the year. Indicate for each course whether student evaluations were undertaken and/or other evaluations made of quality of teaching. For each course indicate if action is

planned to improve teaching.

| planned to improve teaching. | | | | | . • | |
|---|----------|----------------|------------------|-------------------|-----|--|
| Course Title/Course Code | | dent ations | Other Evaluation | Action Planned | | |
| Course Title/Course Code | Yes | No | (specify) | Yes | No | |
| FSN202/Principles of Food | √ | 110 | (specify) | 168 | 110 | |
| Science | | | | | | |
| FSN206/Principles of Human | ✓ | | | | | |
| Nutrition | | | | | | |
| FSN315/Nutritional Biochemistry | √ | | | | | |
| FSN316/Food Chemistry | ✓ | | | | | |
| FSN317/Food Analysis | √ | | | | | |
| FSN323/Food Microbiology | √ | | | | | |
| FSN325/Sanitation and Food Safety | √ | | | | | |
| FSN352/Food Processing and Preservation | √ | | | | | |
| FSN372/Assessment of | √ | | | | | |
| Nutritional Status | | | | | | |
| FSN 376/Diets Planning | √ | | | | | |
| FSN 456/Quality Control and | ✓ | | | | | |
| sensory Evaluation of Foods | | | | | | |
| FSN420/Food Biotechnology | √ | | | | | |
| FSN422/Food Service | √ | | | | | |
| FSN433/Dairy Science and | ✓ | | | | | |
| Technology | | | | | | |
| FSN435/Dates Science and | √ | | | | | |
| Technology | | | | | | |
| FSN437/Cereal Science and | √ | | | | | |
| Technology | | | | | | |
| FSN439/Meat Science and | ✓ | | | | | |
| Technology | | | | | | |
| FSN471/Development of Food | ✓ | | | | | |
| Products | | | | | | |

| FSN361/Nutrition during the Life | ✓ | | | |
|----------------------------------|----------|--|--|--|
| Cycle | | | | |
| FSN422/Food Service | √ | | | |
| FSN464/Community Nutrition | √ | | | |
| FSN 465/Applied Nutrition | √ | | | |
| FSN 472/Problems of Nutrition in | √ | | | |
| Developing Countries | | | | |
| FSN 477/Micronutrients | √ | | | |
| FSN 481/Selected Topics in Food | ✓ | | | |
| and Nutrition | | | | |

(Add items or attach list if necessary)

2. List All Campus Branch/Locations (approved by Ministry of Higher Education or Higher Council of Education).

| Campus Branch/Location | Approval By | Date |
|------------------------|--------------------|------|
| Main Campus: | | |
| 1: Diriyah campus | Ministry of higher | 1965 |
| | education | |
| 2: | | |

List all courses taught by this program and for this program that are in other programs (if any).

| Year | Course Code | Course Title | Required or Elective | Credit Hours | College or Department |
|------|----------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| | ENGL 140 | English Language 1 | Required | 8 | English language department |
| | MATH 140 | Mathematics 1 | Required | 2 | Department of mathematics |
| Prep | CSK 140 | Communication Skills | Required | 2 | |
| Year | TEC 140 | Computer Skills 8 Information Tech. | | 3 | College of computer science |
| | ENGL 150 | English Language 2 | | 8 | English language department |
| | MATH | Mathematics 2 (calculus) | Required | 3 | Department of mathematics |

| | 150 | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------|--------------------------------------|----------|---------|----------------------------------|
| | LTS 140 | Learning, Thinking and | Required | 3 | |
| | | Research Skills | | | |
| | CHS 140 | Health and Fitness | Required | 1 | College of Health sciences |
| | ENT 101 | Entrepreneurship | Required | 1 | |
| 1 st Year Semester 1 | | | | | |
| 1 st Year Semester 2 | | | | | |
| | 202 FSN | Principles of Food Science | Required | 2 (2+0) | Food science and nutrition dept. |
| | 101 IC | Introduction to Islamic Culture | Elective | 2 (2+0) | Islamic studies department |
| | 101 PHYS | General Physics (1) | Required | 4 (3+1) | Department of physics |
| 2 nd Year Semester 1 | 101 BCH | General Biochemistry | Required | 4 (3+1) | Department of biochemistry |
| | 102 BOT | Botany | Required | 3 (2+1) | Department of botany |
| | 106 STAT | Bio Statistics | Required | 2 (2+0) | Department of statistics |
| | 205 AGEC | Principles of Agricultural Economics | Required | 3 (3+0) | Department of agri-economics |
| | 206 FSN | Principles of Human Nutrition | Required | 2 (2+0) | Food science and nutrition dept. |
| | 316 FSN | Food Chemistry | Required | 3 (3+0) | Food science and nutrition dept. |
| | 103 ZOO | Principles of Zoology | Required | 3 (2+1) | Department of zoology |
| 2 nd Year Semester 2 | 103 CHEM | General Chemistry (1) | Required | 3 (3+0) | Department of chemistry |
| | 104 CHEM | General Chemistry Lab | Elective | 1 (0+1) | Department of chemistry |
| | 211 PLPT | Agricultural Microbiology | Required | 3 (2+1) | Dept. of Plant production |
| | 332 ZOO | General Physiology | Required | 3 (2+1) | Department of zoology |

| | 315 FSN | Nutritional Biochemistry | Required | 3 (3+0) | Food science and nutrition dept. |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|--|---------------------|---------------------|--|
| | 317 FSN | Food Analysis | Required | 3 (1+2) | Food science and nutrition dept. |
| 3 rd Year | 323 FSN | Food Microbiology | Required | 4 (3+1) | Food science and nutrition dept. |
| Semester 1 | IC 102 | Islam and Community Structure | Elective | 2 (2+0) | |
| | 106 ANPR | Animal Production Systems | Required | 2 (2+0) | Department of animal production |
| | 108-1 CHEM | Introductory Organic Chemistry | Required | 4 (3+1) | Department of chemistry |
| | 325 FSN | Sanitation and Food Safety | Required | 2 (2+0) | Food science and nutrition dept. |
| | 352 FSN | Food Processing and Preservation | Required | 3 (2+1) | Food science and nutrition dept. |
| | 372 FSN | Assessment of Nutritional Status | Required | 2 (1+1) | Food science and nutrition dept. |
| 3 rd Year Semester 2 | 376 FSN | Diets Planning | Required | 2 (1+1) | Food science and nutrition dept. |
| Semester 2 | 456 FSN | Quality Control and sensory Evaluation of Foods | Required | 2 (1+1) | Food science and nutrition dept. |
| | 103 IC | Economic System in Islam | Elective | 2 (2+0) | |
| | 201 PPS | Principles of Plant Production | Required | 3 (2+1) | Department of plant production |
| | 470 CHS | Nutrition and Disease | Required | 3 (3+0) | College of health science |
| 4 th Year Semester 1 | FSN 400 | Cooperative Learning | Required | 12 | Food science and nutrition dept. in collaboration with outside organizations |
| | 104 IC | Principles of Political System in Islam | Elective | 2 (2+0) | |
| 4 th Year Semester 2 | Supporting hrs | Courses for Cooperative Learni | ng in <u>Food S</u> | <u>cience</u> Field | |
| 1 st option | 420 FSN | Food Biotechnology | Required | 2 (2+0) | Food science and nutrition dept. |
| | 422 FSN | Food Service | Required | 2 (2+0) | Food science and nutrition dept. |
| | 433 FSN | Dairy Science and | Required | 4 (2+2) | Food science and |

| Technology 435 FSN Dates Science and Technology 437 FSN Cereal Science and Technology 439 FSN Meat Science and Technology 439 FSN Meat Science and Technology 471 FSN Development of Food Products 320 AGEN Engineering Engineering in Supporting Courses for Cooperative Learning in Human Nutrition dept. Supporting Courses for Cooperative Learning in Human Nutrition Human Nutrition dept. 465 FSN Applied Nutrition 477 FSN Applied Nutrition 477 FSN Applied Nutrition 477 FSN Applied Nutrition 481 FSN Applied Nutrition 481 FSN Selected Topics in Food and Nutrited Nutrition dept. Required Sequired Food Science and nutrition dept. | | 1 | T | | 1 | |
|--|------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|---|
| 435 FSN Technology Required 2 (2+0) nutrition dept. 437 FSN Cereal Science and Technology Required 4 (2+2) Food science and nutrition dept. 439 FSN Meat Science and Technology Required 4 (2+2) Food science and nutrition dept. 471 FSN Development of Food Products Required Products 320 AGEN Principles of Food Process Engineering Required in Islam Supporting Courses for Cooperative Learning in Human Nutrition Fields Choose 15 credit hrs 361 FSN Nutrition during the Life Cycle Required Prod science and nutrition dept. 464 FSN Community Nutrition Required Prod science and nutrition dept. 465 FSN Applied Nutrition Required Prod Science and nutrition dept. 477 FSN Micronutrients Required Prod science and nutrition dept. 481 FSN Selected Topics in Food and Nutrition Required Prod Science and nutrition dept. 463 SOC Medical Social Work Required Prod Science and nutrition dept. Required Prod Science and nutrition dept. Food science and nutrition dept. | | | Technology | | | nutrition dept. |
| 437 FSN Cereal Science and Technology Required 4 (2+2) Food science and nutrition dept. 439 FSN Meat Science and Technology Required 4 (2+2) Food science and nutrition dept. 471 FSN Development of Food Products Required Principles of Food Products Required 3 (2+1) Food science and nutrition dept. 320 AGEN Principles of Food Process Engineering Required In Islam Supporting Courses for Cooperative Learning in Human Nutrition Fields Choose 15 credit hrs 361 FSN Nutrition during the Life Cycle Required Production Applies Production Applies Required Production Products Required Production Principle Production | | 125 ESN | Dates Science and | Required | 2 (2±0) | |
| A37 FSN Technology Required A (2+2) nutrition dept. | | 433 1310 | Technology | Required | 2 (2+0) | nutrition dept. |
| ## Year Semester 2 2 2nd option ## Year Semester 3 | | 427 FCN | Cereal Science and | Dagwinad | 4 (2 : 2) | Food science and |
| Ada FSN | | 437 FSIN | Technology | Required | 4 (2+2) | nutrition dept. |
| Principles of Food Process Required Production dept. | | 420 561 | Meat Science and | Day Sand | 4 (2 : 2) | Food science and |
| 4th Year Semester 2 2nd option 4th Year Semester 3 4th Year Semester 4th Year Semester 4th Year Semester 4th Year Semester 5th Year Semester 5th Year Semester 6th Year Semester 7th Year Semester 7th Year Semester 8th Year Semester 9th Year Semes | | 439 FSN | Technology | Required | 4 (2+2) | nutrition dept. |
| 4th Year Semester 2 2nd option 4th Year Semester 3 4th Year Semester 4th Year Semester 4th Year Semester 4th Year Semester 5th Year Semester 5th Year Semester 6th Year Semester 7th Year Semester 7th Year Semester 8th Year Semester 9th Year Semes | | | | | | Food science and |
| 320 AGEN Principles of Food Process Required 3 (2+1) Food science and nutrition dept. | | 471 FSN | • | Required | 2 (1+1) | nutrition dept. |
| 104 IC Principles of Political System in Islam Supporting Courses for Cooperative Learning in Human Nutrition Fields | | | Principles of Food Process | _ | | • |
| 104 IC | | 320 AGEN | 1 | Required | 3 (2+1) | |
| In Islam Supporting Courses for Cooperative Learning in Human Nutrition Fields | | 104 IC | | Flective | | |
| Supporting Courses for Cooperative Learning in Human Nutrition Fields Choose 15 credit hrs 361 FSN Nutrition during the Life Cycle Required Pood science and nutrition dept. 422 FSN Food Service Required Food science and nutrition dept. 464 FSN Community Nutrition Required Food science and nutrition dept. 465 FSN Applied Nutrition Required Food science and nutrition dept. 472 FSN Problems of Nutrition in Developing Countries Required Food science and nutrition dept. 477 FSN Micronutrients Required Food science and nutrition dept. 481 FSN Selected Topics in Food and Nutrition Required Food science and nutrition dept. 481 FSN Micronutrients Required Food science and nutrition dept. 463 SOC Medical Social Work Required | | 10116 | 1 | Licetive | | |
| Choose 15 credit hrs 361 FSN | | Supporting | | ng in Human | Nutrition | l Fiolds |
| 4th Year Semester 2 2nd option Applied Nutrition during the Life Cycle 422 FSN Food Service 464 FSN Community Nutrition Required Food science and nutrition dept. 465 FSN Applied Nutrition Required Food science and nutrition dept. 465 FSN Applied Nutrition Required Food science and nutrition dept. 472 FSN Problems of Nutrition in Developing Countries 477 FSN Micronutrients Required Food science and nutrition dept. 481 FSN Selected Topics in Food and Nutrition 104 PA Principle of General Administration 463 SOC Medical Social Work Required Food science and nutrition dept. | | | | ing in <u>muman</u> | i ivatiitioii | ricius |
| 4th Year Semester 2 2nd option 464 FSN Community Nutrition Required Food science and nutrition dept. 465 FSN Applied Nutrition Required Food science and nutrition dept. 472 FSN Problems of Nutrition in Developing Countries Production Required Food science and nutrition dept. 477 FSN Micronutrients Required Food science and nutrition dept. 481 FSN Selected Topics in Food and Nutrition Required Food science and nutrition dept. 483 FSN Principle of General Required Food science and nutrition dept. 463 SOC Medical Social Work Required Medical Social Work Required Food science and nutrition dept. | | C11003E 13 | • | D | | F |
| 4th Year Semester 2 2nd option 464 FSN Community Nutrition Applied Nutrition Applied Nutrition in Developing Countries 477 FSN Micronutrients Also FSN Selected Topics in Food and Nutrition dept. Required Food science and nutrition dept. Required Food science and nutrition dept. Food science and nutrition dept. Food science and nutrition dept. Required Food science and nutrition dept. | | 361 FSN | _ | Required | | |
| 4th Year Semester 2 2nd option 465 FSN Applied Nutrition 472 FSN Problems of Nutrition in Developing Countries 477 FSN Micronutrients Selected Topics in Food and Nutrition dept. 481 FSN Selected Topics in Food and Nutrition 104 PA Principle of General Administration 463 SOC Medical Social Work Required Food science and nutrition dept. Required Food science and nutrition dept. Food science and nutrition dept. | | | Cycle | | | - |
| 4th Year Semester 2 2nd option 464 FSN Applied Nutrition Applied Nutrition Required Food science and nutrition dept. Food science and nutrition dept. Food science and nutrition dept. Required Food science and nutrition dept. Food science and nutrition dept. Food science and nutrition dept. Required Food science and nutrition dept. Food science and nutrition dept. Required Food science and nutrition dept. Food science and nutrition dept. Required Food science and nutrition dept. | | 422 FSN | Food Service | Required | | |
| 4th YearSemester 2 2nd optionApplied NutritionRequiredFood science and nutrition dept.465 FSNApplied NutritionRequiredFood science and nutrition dept.472 FSNProblems of Nutrition in Developing CountriesRequiredFood science and nutrition dept.477 FSNMicronutrientsRequiredFood science and nutrition dept.481 FSNSelected Topics in Food and NutritionRequiredFood science and nutrition dept.104 PAPrinciple of General AdministrationRequiredFood science and nutrition dept.463 SOCMedical Social WorkRequired | | | | | | • |
| Semester 2 2nd option 465 FSN Applied Nutrition 472 FSN Problems of Nutrition in Developing Countries 477 FSN Micronutrients Required Food science and nutrition dept. Required Required Food science and nutrition dept. | | 464 FSN | Community Nutrition | Required | | |
| 2nd option Applied Nutrition Applied Nutrition Problems of Nutrition in Developing Countries 472 FSN Micronutrients Required Food science and nutrition dept. Required Food science and nutrition dept. Required Food science and nutrition dept. Food science and nutrition dept. Required Required Food science and nutrition dept. Food science and nutrition dept. | | | Community reaction | | | • |
| 472 FSN Problems of Nutrition in Developing Countries 477 FSN Micronutrients Required Food science and nutrition dept. Food science and nutrition dept. Required Food science and nutrition dept. Food science and nutrition dept. Required Food science and nutrition dept. | | 465 FSN Applied Nutrition | Applied Nutrition | Required | | Food science and |
| Developing Countries A77 FSN Micronutrients Required Food science and nutrition dept. Selected Topics in Food and Nutrition Principle of General Administration A63 SOC Medical Social Work Required Food science and nutrition dept. Required Food science and nutrition dept. Required Nutrition Required Food science and nutrition dept. | 2 nd option | | | | nutrition dept. | |
| A77 FSN Micronutrients Required Food science and nutrition dept. 481 FSN Selected Topics in Food and Nutrition Principle of General Administration Administration 463 SOC Medical Social Work Required Required Nutrition dept. Required Food science and nutrition dept. Food science and nutrition dept. | | 472 FSN | Problems of Nutrition in | Required | | Food science and |
| 477 FSN Micronutrients nutrition dept. 481 FSN Selected Topics in Food and Nutrition 104 PA Principle of General Administration Administration 463 SOC Medical Social Work Required Required Nutrition dept. Required Production Production Required Nutrition dept. Required Nutrition dept. | | | Developing Countries | | | nutrition dept. |
| 481 FSN Selected Topics in Food and Nutrition Principle of General Administration 463 SOC Medical Social Work Required Inutrition dept. Required Food science and nutrition dept. Required nutrition dept. | | 477 FCN | N. di auta auta in auta | Required | | Food science and |
| Nutrition nutrition dept. Principle of General Administration Required nutrition dept. Administration Required nutrition dept. | | 4// FSN | Micronutrients | | | nutrition dept. |
| Nutrition nutrition dept. Principle of General Administration Required nutrition dept. Administration Required nutrition dept. | | 404 =011 | Selected Topics in Food and | Required | | Food science and |
| 104 PA Principle of General Administration Required Nutrition dept. 463 SOC Medical Social Work Required | | 481 FSN | • | ' | | |
| Administration nutrition dept. 463 SOC Medical Social Work Required | | | Principle of General | Required | | · |
| 463 SOC Medical Social Work Required | | 1 11111 12 1 | 1 | 2 4 2 2 2 | | |
| | | 463 SOC | | Required | | i in the state of |
| include additional yours it needed | Include additi | | | | | |
| | morade additi | Jean Jeans II | | | | |

3. Program Learning Outcome Assessment. Design a program learning outcome assessment plan using the NCAAA accreditation four year cycle. By the end of the four year cycle all program learning outcomes are to be assessed using KPIs with benchmarks and analysis, national or international standardized testing if available, rubrics, exams and grade analysis, or some alternative scientific measure of student performance.

| KPI # | NQF Learning Domains and Learning Outcomes | Method of Assessment | Date of Assessment |
|----------|--|---|-----------------------|
| 1.0 | Knowledge | rissessment | rissessment |
| 1.1 | Define the subject matter of food science and nutrition | | 2014 |
| 1.2 | List the subjects and the areas of knowledge required | | 2014 |
| 1.3 | Describe knowledge in analysis, design and development of subject application. | Exams, quizzes, midterm finals, papers/projects, | 2014 |
| 1.4 | Understand the concepts of Food Science Technology and Human Nutrition. | and special assignment. | 2014 |
| 1.5 | Communicate food science and nutrition knowledge effectively with others in one-on-one, small-group, and large-group situations | | 2014 |
| 2.0 | Cognitive Skills | | |
| 2.1 | Apply and communicate knowledge to the intended. | | 2014 |
| 2.2 | Develop comprehensive awareness of analytical skills, new product development, food industry problem solving, and meal planning for special cases. | 30% of final grade to be based on practical exams | 2014 |
| 2.3 | Differentiate understand the reason for choosing different food processing methods as well as the effect of processing on the nutritional value of foods | and seminar Seminars. The remaining 70% are allocated for written exams | 2014 |
| 3.0 | Interpersonal Skills & Responsibility | | |
| 3.1 | Interpret a situation and decide possible source of problems and demonstrate ability to communicate the problem to others | Observation, Self-evaluation, Peers' | 2014 |
| 3.2 | Demonstrate ability to recognize food production problems. Judge a nutrition situation related to disease such as malnutrition | evaluation, and Email communication between student and staff | 2014 |

| 4.0 | Communication, Information Technology, Numer | ical | |
|-----|---|-----------------------------------|------|
| 4.1 | Interpret real malnutrition cases or troubleshoot a food- production problem in a production line. | Report evaluation and exams | 2014 |
| 5.0 | Psychomotor | | |
| 5.1 | Perform diagnostics of food products or a nutrition situation and provide a technical report explaining the situation and possible solution or recommendation | Report evaluation and exams | 2014 |

Provide "direct assessments" for the current year's program learning outcomes, according to the dates provided above (G.2). A *KPI Assessment Table* is provided below. Each learning outcome should utilize a separate KPI table. Over the four (five/six) year cycle, all program learning outcomes are to be assessed and reported in the *Annual Program Report*(s). Normally a program has 6 to 8 program learning outcomes. Therefore 1 to 3 learning outcomes are directly assessed each year.

The KPI table is used to document directly assessed program learning outcomes. Assessments methods may include: national or international standardized test results, rubrics, exams and grade analysis, or learning achievement using an alternative scientific assessment system (copy the *KPI Assessment Table* and paste to make additional tables as needed).

KPI Assessment Table (Institutionally approved for the program)

| KPI#3.1 Program KPI | KPI # 3.1 Program KPI: Students overall evaluation on the quality of their learning experience | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| at the institution | | | |
| | Assessment Year: 2013-14 Program Learning Outcome: Students can understand, analyze and communicate different concepts related to the fields of food science, food technology and human nutrition. | | |
| NQF Learning Domain | Knowledge | | |
| Target Benchmark | 4/5 (i.e four out of five students should be satisfied with the knowledge | | |
| | they obtained through FSN program) | | |
| KPI Actual | 3.83/5 | | |
| Benchmark | | | |
| Internal Benchmark | 4.5/5 | | |
| External Benchmark | | | |
| New Target | 4.5/5 | | |
| Benchmark | | | |
| Analysis: (List strengths and recommendations) | | | |

- 1. The faculty members of Food Science and Nutrition department are highly trained, qualified and committed to teaching, research, and community outreach programs.
- 2. The courses offered at the department are carefully designed and continuously updated in relations to the latest developments in the fields of food science and human nutrition.
- 3. Laboratories are well equipped with most of the necessary infrastructure required for

Strengths:

- effective delivery of practical courses.
- 4. Institutional policies and procedures are adhered to for the verification of standards of achievement by students in relation to other institutions and the requirements of the National Qualifications Framework.

Recommendations

- 1. The gathered results of statistical analysis of quality and performance of the program and student evaluation should be used as guide for quality improvement.
- 2. The quality improvement and program planning recommendations should be imbedded in the daily administrative processes. Continue the use of standard forms and survey instruments for quality assurance processes and include any new unique needs of the program to ensure quality assurance follow-up.
- **3.** Make certain the information on key performance indicators, related to knowledge and selected for the program, is provided regularly.

KPI # 3.4 **Program KPI:** Proportion of programs in which there was independent verification of standards of student's achievements by people external to the institution during the year

Assessment Year 2013-14 **Program Learning Outcome:** Students should have enough verifiable cognitive skills about food processing, product development, human nutrition, food analysis and food quality that they can effectively work according to the needs of foods industry or other related professional organizations.

| NQF Learning Domain | Cognitive Skills | |
|---------------------|---|--|
| Target Benchmark | The department the requirements of the Institute of Food Technology | |
| | (IFT) of Chicago as target | |
| KPI Actual | The department fulfilled all IFT requirements | |
| Benchmark | | |
| Internal Benchmark | | |
| External Benchmark | IFT requirements | |
| New Target | Maintain IFT requirements and become a member of IFT | |
| Benchmark | | |

Analysis: (List strengths and recommendations)

Strengths:

- The different types of learning outcomes in relation to cognitive skills are now set by the department
- The department is systematically assessing cognitive skills of students by conducting students and external survey and through professional institutes' verification.
- A methodical approach for students' cognitive skills was established by inviting outside program reviewers from national and international institutions in addition to complying with NCAAA requirements.

Recommendations for Improvements

- The department needs to develop more KPIs for students related to cognitive skills in addition to those specified by NCAAA
- Develop more relevant benchmarking to cognitive skills that meets employers

expectations

 More information should be collected and feedback taken from employers of graduates who passed this program. This can help in improving the program and making it more applied for professional needs.

KPI # 4.2 **Program KPI:** Students' overall evaluation on the quality of their courses (average rating of students on a five point scale on overall evaluation of courses)

Assessment Year 2013-14 **Program Learning Outcome:** Students should be able to interpret and demonstrate a certain food science & technology/human nutrition related problem

| NQF Learning Domain | Interpersonal Skills & Responsibility |
|----------------------------|--|
| Target Benchmark | 4/5 |
| KPI Actual | 4/5 |
| Benchmark | |
| Internal Benchmark | 3.87/5 (Agriculture Engineering) |
| External Benchmark | |
| New Target | To remain consistent with the quality of courses in imparting required |
| Benchmark | professional skills in the students |

Analysis: (List strengths and recommendations)

Strengths

- The FSN department has defined the learning outcomes for interpersonal skills and responsibility
- The department is systematically assessing students learning outcomes by conducting student's surveys for all courses, surveys of faculty and employees, and survey of alumni as well as employers.
- Methodical approach for students learning outcome was established by inviting outside program reviewers from national and international institutions in addition to complying with NCAAA requirements.

Recommendations for Improvements

- The department needs to develop more KPIs for students learning outcomes in relation to interpersonal skills and responsibility in addition to those specified by NCAAA
- The employers expectations should also be taken into consideration
- The role of alumni and use their experience in improvement of different learning outcomes.

KPI # 4.2 **Program KPI:** Students' overall evaluation on the quality of their courses (Average rating of students on a five-point scale on overall evaluation of courses).

Assessment Year 2010-11 **Program Learning Outcome:** Student should have the ability to interpret cases of malnutrition or trouble-shoot problem in a food production line.

| • | · |
|----------------------------|--|
| NQF Learning Domain | Communication, Information Technology, Numerical |
| Target Benchmark | 4/5 |
| KPI Actual | |

| Benchmark | |
|--------------------|-----|
| Internal Benchmark | 4/5 |
| External Benchmark | 4/5 |
| New Target | 4/5 |
| Benchmark | |

Analysis: (List strengths and recommendations)

Strengths

- 1. Students are given various type of problem solving tasks in different course in which they are encouraged to polish their numerical, communication and IT skills. The classrooms in FSN are well equipped with multimedia devices and there is internet facility throughout the college. Students have easy access to computers for collection of information in solving problems and completing their assignments.
- 2. The department invites external reviewers for assessment of the quality of teaching and learning and these reviewers are from professional organizations such as IFT and also from other universities offering similar majors.
- 3. The student feedbacks, teachers' evaluations and course improvements are continuously accomplished at the department.

Recommendations

- 1. Continue systematic monitoring the program after the changes made due to establishment of the prep year.
- 2. More efforts are required for achieving or even excelling the set benchmark.
- 3. More collaboration with food industries and nutrition related organizations should be established.

KPI # New KPI **Program KPI:** Under students' satisfaction domain, the overall ratio on the quality of their course by answering. I am happy with this course in general In the course evaluation survey.

Assessment Year 2013-14 **Program Learning Outcome:** A student should feel enough equipped with professional skills in food science or human nutrition fields that he can diagnose a food product or nutrition related problem and become capable of explaining it along with solutions and recommendations.

| NQF Learning Domain | Psychomotor |
|----------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Target Benchmark | 4.5/5 |
| KPI Actual | 3.7/5 |
| Benchmark | |
| Internal Benchmark | 3.87/5 (Agriculture Engineering) |
| External Benchmark | |
| New Target | 4.5/5 |
| Benchmark | |

Analysis: (List strengths and recommendations)

Strength



- 1. There was a thorough evaluation of the new program by the educational committee and these were based on the requirements set by IFT (Institute of Food Technologists) and AND (Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics).
- 2. The new program was based on national qualifications, external standards (IFT and AND) and benchmarks of some of the American Universities.
- 3. This leadership of the department is open for comments with regard to improving the program, including internal and external comments and it gives due consideration to all of them.
- 4. The new program will be applied to students in the academic year (1433/1434) which means that the department reassessment of the program conducted at least once every five years. This will allow overview and constant improvement of the program.
- 5. The stake holders and companies where most of the FSN graduates work are invited to review the program and the department coordinates well with them and knows there needs and demands.
- 6. The teaching skills of the teaching staff are continuously improved through workshops and programs arranged by Deanship of Skills Development.
- 7. Finally, the department hosts highly qualified internationally trained faculty members, good support system for all faculty members, strong and entrusted faculty members, and continuous faculty development programs.

Areas for improvement:

- 1. Minor changes required for keeping program up to date and responding to course and program evaluation is not applicable currently. This is because no student until now indicated any such changes in the evaluation form. Moreover, any change in the course and the program usually done every five years. However, the department discussed this point and concluded that any change can be done according to the advice of educational committee. This committee should study the proposed change and its recommendations should be approved by the department council.
- 2. Student evaluation is done for each course and results of the evaluations are distributed to all teaching staff. However, students are not taking this issue seriously as they should.
- 3. Some of the teaching staff attends the workshops offered by the Deanship of Skills Development. Many criticisms were raised regarding some of the offered workshops concerning their quality and benefits. Therefore, deanship of skills development should distribute questionnaire to determine the needs of the teaching staff.

| 3. Orientation programs for new teaching staff | |
|---|--|
| Orientation programs provided? Yes ✓ No If offered how many participated? | |
| a. Brief Description | |
| For new faculty members, special workshops offered by the Deanship of Skills Development at King Saud University for new faculty members in a form of orientation. The university offers new faculty special financial support so that to kick off their research activities. This program is open for all new faculty members. | |

b. List recommendations for improvement by teaching staff.

The orientation programs can be included at college and department level so that new faculty members receive an immediate introduction about the department/college they are actually joining within the university.

Department head can be assigned such a task by the concerned deanship along with provision of necessary requisites and personal trained in such programs.

c. If orientation programs were not provided, give reasons.

NA

| 4. Professional Development Activities for Faculty, Teaching and Other Staff | | How many Participated | |
|--|-------------------|--------------------------|--|
| a. Activities Provided | Teaching Staff | Other Staff | |
| Attend the workshops organized by the Deanship of Quality at King Saud University | | | |
| Attend the summer training offered by KSU abroad for a number of issues such as leadership skills development; enhance teaching and learning of faculty members. The program is offered every summer and it is open for all. | | | |
| Sharing of "know-how' of new tools though short courses and seminars. | | | |
| Participating in international workshops for personal professional improvement. | | | |
| Faculty is encouraged to attend foreign universities research program for their sabbatical leave. | | | |
| Local and international conferences attendance is supported by KSU as well. | | | |

b. Summary analysis on usefulness of activities based on participant's evaluations or other evaluation methods.

The above listed activities proved to be useful for refreshing the knowledge and skills of faculty and this has resulted in getting more research funds after providing new research ideas. Trainings, short courses, conferences, workshops etc. are always very useful professional development activities and KSU is fully supportive to our department for these.

H. Independent Opinion on Quality of the Program after Considering Draft Report (e.g. head of another similar department/ program offering comment on evidence received and conclusions reached) (Attach notes)

1. Matters Raised by Evaluator Giving Opinion

Independent opinion was obtained from external reviewers such as Institute of Food Technologists (IFT) who besides giving various positive comments about strengths of teaching and research being carried out at FSN department also suggested some areas for improvement such as:

- 1. The gathered results of statistical analysis of quality and performance of the program should be used as guide for future plans for quality improvment.
- 2. The quality improvement and program planning recomendations should be imbeded in the daily administrative processes.
- Continue the use of standard forms and survey instruments for quality assurance processes and include any new unique needs of the program to ensure quality assurance followup.
- 4. Make certain the information on key performance indicators that are selected for the program is provided regularly.

Comment by Program Coordinator

Considering the comments for areas improvement in functioning of FSN department following strategies are being planned:

- To hire qualified staff in the Academic Quality unit and provide needed training.
- To ensure that specific indicators are identified for monitoring performance and make certain that appropriate benchmarks are selected for comparative evaluation of the achievement of goals and objectives and quality of performance.
- Develop and maintain a database for quality and updates any quality development in the program.
- 4. To include quality assurance measures in all departmental quality committee meetings.
- 5. The interpretations of evidence of quality performance should be done by specialized personnel.
- To ensure that the program administrators and teaching and other staff are committed to maintaining and improving the quality of the program.

2. Implications for Planning for the Program

These independent opinions prove to be useful for overall quality improvement at food science and human nutrition program. It also helped and directed the department to establish KPI and benchmarks for its performance evaluation; to properly document for ensuring quality, to give considerable importance to quality relate issues in running of departmental affairs and to establish ad quality management unit at the department.

I. Action Plan Progress Report

| Actions Planned | Planned Completion Date | Person Responsible | Completed | If Not Complete, Give Reasons |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|---|
| Changes in course requirement | Continuous process | Dr. Mohamed El-Fawaz | The department of FSN had a program change in regards to courses offered, where the whole seventh semester was allocated for the internship. In addition one course was eliminated and some courses were made as elective. Now, the department allow students to take one of two choices for a major, either food science or human nutrition, but the degree will still carry the same title as mentioned earlier. | Comment: Changes in courses may also be required in future depending on the professional needs and feedback from industry and national priorities relating to food science and human nutrition. |
| Actions Planned | Planned Completion Date | Person Responsible | Completed | If Not Complete, Give Reasons |
| Relation with community | Continuous process | Dr. AbdulrhmanSal ih Al-Khalifa | N/A | More community-department relationships are planned to be established and work in this direction is continuous. |

2. Proposals for Program Development

a. Proposals for Changes to Program Structure (units/credit-hours, compulsory or optional courses, other)

There are some proposals under consideration in particular due to the separation of preparatory year program from the department

b. Proposals for Changes to Courses, (deletions and additions of units or topics, changes in teaching or assessment procedures etc.)

New courses are being considered due to removal of some courses from list of courses offered by the department which were taken by preparatory year program.

There may also be new course proposals as result of alumni feedback and consolations with IFT.

c. Development of Activities for Faculty and Teaching Staff

The activities aimed at professional development of teaching and academic staff include:

- Workshops organized by the Deanship of Quality at King Saud University
- Summer training abroad by KSU and aimed at improving leadership skills and enhance the knowledge and skills of faculty members.
- Short courses and seminars are also arranged for the exchange of knowledge.
- International training workshops for faculty's professional improvement.
- Faculty is also provided with opportunities to attend foreign universities research program for their sabbatical leave.
- Local and international conferences attendance is supported by KSU as well.

| 3. New Action Plan for Academic Year 2015-16 | | |
|--|--------------------|---|
| Actions Required | Completion Date | Person Responsible |
| Developing an e-mail list for alumni, public, and private sector stakeholders for easier coordination. | 2015 | Director of the Quality management System (QMS) team at FSN |
| Setting up implementation process of KSU's quality management system to accomplish the mission of the department | 2015 | Director of QMS team at FSN |

| Request faculty members to encourage students to stay in contact with them to seek advice at the beginning of every | 2015 | Director of QMS team at FSN |
|---|------|--------------------------------|
| semester | | |
| Appeal to faculty to write grant proposals for attracting | 2015 | Director of QMS |
| external funding for research | | team at FSN |
| Follow the graduation rate every semester and determine the | 2015 | Director of QMS |
| effect of the measures taken by the department of the | | team at FSN |
| institution for accelerating the rate. | | |

| Program Chair/ Coordinator Name: | Fahad Y. AL-Juhaimi |
|----------------------------------|------------------------|
| Signature: | Date Report Completed: |
| Received by: | _ Dean/Department Head |
| Signature: | Date: |